

**Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority
1-08-C173 RFQI Security Improvements Analysis
Questions and Answers**

	Question	Answer
1.	Will this assessment include a review of current training requirements and applications?	No.
2.	If the final report from this effort indicates a requirement for follow-on design and construction security upgrades to DCA and/or IAD that MWAA obtains approval to pursue - would the firm(s) involved with this effort be excluded from bidding on that work?	Yes, they would be precluded from the design and construction due to a conflict of interest.
3.	Will winning the project cause the successful contractor to be precluded from doing other security-related projects?	The firm will be precluded from performing work that conflicts with its role as a consultant performing on this project.
4.	Can a firm submit as a prime on one team and as a sub on another?	Yes. Each offeror may submit as the prime on only one proposal (including as a prime joint venture partner); however, offerors may submit as prime on one and a sub consultant on others. Offerors may submit on multiple submittals as a sub-consultant.
5.	We are an engineering firm. We've performed many IT security audits at airports and nuclear power plants. Would you allow us to give you an RFQ on ONLY the IT security and integration with TSA portions?	All offerors must be capable of performing the entire statement of work.
6.	Is the scope limited to strictly the DCA and IAD immediate environs or does it also cover facilities owned and/or operated by MWAA beyond the immediate IAD and DCA environs (e.g. Dulles Corridor)?	Limited to DCA and IAD immediate environs only.
7.	In the project summary section, it is mentioned that "the purpose of the work requested ... is ... to review the 2002 security and threat assessment report and assumptions against current requirements." - Please provide more information on these requirements - are these airport requirements? TSA requirements? Both? What deliverables are expected in such a review -- reports, analyses, future recommendations?	Verification of current requirements will be part of the consultants work. Current requirements apply to both airport and TSA. Deliverable will have a report which will include the analysis and recommendations.

**Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority
1-08-C173 RFQI Security Improvements Analysis
Questions and Answers**

	Question	Answer
8.	In the project summary section, it is mentioned that "The scope of work will require among other things, ... security implications of Capital Construction Program," - Please provide more information, specifically on what are the requirements of this item. For example this might refer to how do new facilities developed under the Capital Construction Program comply with security requirements or it might refer to overall airport security compliance during actual development and construction of such facilities (e.g. construction employee background checks).	It refers to overall security compliance of the Capital Construction Program both during and after construction.
9.	In the project summary section, it is mentioned that "The scope of work will require among other things, ... integration with the TSA." - Please provide more information and specifically on what are the nature of these requirements. We are unsure as to the meaning of "integration with the TSA". Please provide an example of or additional details regarding this requirement. Does this imply operational requirements to align with SSCP and in-line systems, or existing TSA general national policies; specific DCA/IAD Cat X policies, anticipated legislative or regulatory requirements -- or all the above?	All of the above which maybe dictated by TSA. The consultant should be able to identify during the analysis the current TSA requirements for integration.
10.	Will the terms and conditions of the contracts be released?	Yes, to the selected firm as part of the Request for Proposal (RFP).
11.	When will the contract terms and conditions be provided?	It will be provided to the firm selected to perform the work within a week.
12.	Is there a limit of how many sub-contracting firms that a consulting team may consist of?	No.
13.	Is there a limit on how many key personnel resumes can be submitted?	No. The total number of pages should not exceed the requirements indicated in the RFQI.

**Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority
1-08-C173 RFQI Security Improvements Analysis
Questions and Answers**

	Question	Answer
14.	In the specialized experience section, the RFQI states Experience and knowledge in the planning and assessment of physical security systems such as access control systems...utility and communication systems." In our experience assessing the physical security posture and resiliency of supporting infrastructure (i.e. electric power, communications, etc.) for our government and commercial clients we typically meet with the commercial provider of the service. Would MWAA support and/or facilitate these meetings as part of the analysis?	All utilities and communication systems to be reviewed are on airport property. Review of commercial providers outside of the airport property is not included in the scope of work.
15.	As part of the site investigation, is it correct to assume the contractor will have access to key points of contact for interviews, and will be able to observe security controls that are in place, during normal and after business hours. Also, will key stakeholders (state and local) grant the contractor access required to complete the assessment?	Contractor access to key points of contact and observation areas will be provided. Contractor employees will be required to secure ID badges to access restricted areas. All key stakeholders are within the Authority organization.
16.	Will the Contractor be provided with requisite credentials to conduct site investigations/reviews?	Contractor will be required to secure ID badges to allow access to restricted areas.
17.	Will all of the information reviewed from the 2002 study be FOUO or are their information classification/clearance requirements?	Information in the 2002 documents is restricted release per requirements of 49 CFR Part 1520. A copy of the report will be provided to the consultant for their use.
18.	In the project summary, the RFQI states that "the purpose of the work requested under this procurement is for the security consultant to review the 2002 security and threat assessment report and assumptions against current requirements". Would our assessment and analysis of compliance against current requirements (i.e. TSA, FAA, & ATSA) be limited to the 2002 report or would we be conducting an assessment and analysis of their current security posture and making recommendations?	The assessment would require analysis of the current security posture and make recommendations