1. Question: On page one of the RFQI, Section 3.A. Team Past Experience states "Team must demonstrate airfield design experience and work on an operational airfield." Can MWAA please confirm if assessing the taxiways, runways, and airfield are included in this project.

Answer: Accessing the taxiways, runways, and airfield may be needed from time-to-time in order an access building facilities and/or infrastructure located in the surrounds.

2. Question: Do divider tabs count towards the page limit?

Answer: No.

3. Question: Pre-submittal conference identified 10% WBE participation. Is that a goal or an RFQI requirement and is the 10% WBE participation part of the overall 25% LDBE requirement?

Answer: The 10% MBE/WBE participation is a goal. The WBE/MBE participation goal is not part of the overall 25% LDBE requirement, unless the WBE/MBE is also an LDBE firm.

4. Question: During the pre-proposal conference it was discussed that LDBE’s certified under NAICS code 541330 for engineering services would be allowed to contribute to the 25% LDBE requirement for the contract, please confirm.

Answer: Please see Amendment No. 2

5. Question: The SOW indicates in section 2.1 that "The assessment must include, but not be limited to the major component systems identified in section 3.4." Physical and Technical Security are not explicitly included in that section. Were they intentionally omitted, or would their inclusion in this scope of work be appropriate?

Answer: Areas of facility assessment should include all areas deemed needed, including security systems.

6. Question: Do standard equipment ID numbers already exist, or would an asset inventory/barcoding effort need to be undertaken as part of the assessment?
Answer: An existing system is in place, for the most part, however an inventory/barcoding effort may be needed.

7. Question: What is the purpose/needs of the Builder & Maximo systems cross communication/integration with Workday?
Answer: Workday has a master inventory list of current buildings and facilities. This will be used for tracking which facilities have been assessed.

8. Question: Can we get a copy of the MWAA protocol for cost estimating or further guidance on MWAA’s cost estimating procedures?
Answer: Industry best practices are to be followed.

Answer: There is no US Citizenship requirement in order to obtain an airport Security Identification Display Area (SIDA) badge.

10. Question: RFQI Section 6. LDBE Requirements: Can you please confirm if the 25% LDBE goal will be applied at the contract level as an average of all tasks, or if this will be individually applied and measured on each task?
Answer: The 25% LDBE requirement will be applied at the contract level as a compilation of all tasks and not individually applied and measured on each task.

11. Question: RFQI Section 6. LDBE Requirements: To meet the 25% LDBE participation requirement for this contract. Is MWAA requiring all 25% participation to come from a firm providing building inspection services (NAICS 5413500, or could the requirement be met through other required services such as the systems integration or bagging handling systems inspection (as an example) under the 541690 NAICS for “Other Scientific and Technical Consulting Services”, 541512 Computer Systems Design, 541330 Engineering, 541310 Architectural Design.
Answer: Please see Amendment No. 2.

12. Question: Please confirm the due date for the RFQI is February 26, 2020 at 2:00pm. The procurement documents had a confusing due date.
Answer: The RFQI’s due date and time is February 26, 2020 at 2:00 P.M.

13. Question: Section B. Key Personnel requires to provide “Year design completed”. On the SF330 Section E. Resumes of Key Personnel there is no field for “Year Design completed” only for “Year Completed Professional Services and Construction.” Please advise as to where we should add the “Year design completed” Information.
Answer: The Professional Services block may be used to note the year design completed.

14. Question: Does SF330 Part II count towards the 70-page limit?
Answer: Yes
15. **Question:** Does Evaluation Criteria: D. Past Performance have to be covered in Section H in addition to being covered in Section F of the SF330?

**Answer:** No. Section H shall include any additional information and discussion necessary to address the Qualifications/Selection Criteria.

16. **Question:** On page 1, section 3. A-D lists additional requirements that pertain to past experience, key personnel, project team, and past performance. Are these subsections to be included within the SF 330? For example, subsection 3. A., Team Past Experience states "The Offeror shall submit information that demonstrates that its proposed project team has experience on at least three (3) but no more than six (6) example projects completed within the past ten (10) years of the RFQI issue date." Does this mean Section F of the SF 330 has a six project limit? Or is this subsection referring to additional information to be included in H?

**Answer:** Section 3 A-D subsections are to be included within the SF 330 as appropriate. Section H shall include any additional information and discussion necessary to address the Qualifications/Selection Criteria. Section F has a six project limit.

17. **Question:** This question also pertains to the key personnel. Subsection 3. B. states "Identify the availability and qualifications of “Key Personnel” that will be assigned to the contract, including the Project Manager, Quality Control Manager, and up to five (5) other key personnel who are expected to make the most significant contribution to the contract." Is Section E of the SF 330 limited to seven resumes?

**Answer:** Yes

18. **Question:** Regarding Qualifications/Selection Criteria Section 3.A (Team Past Experience), can we use a contract with multiple completed task orders, similar in scope to this procurement, as one of the six projects demonstrating past experience?

**Answer:** Yes, however only one of the contract task order’s projects may be submitted.

19. **Question:** The LDBE goal is limited to a single NAICS code 541350 for Inspection services. This RFQI contains a broad range of scope for potential tasks, much of which is not covered by this one NAICS description. We would like to request the list of NAICS codes acceptable for LDBE credit be expanded to encompass other services that could fall under this contract to increase the participation level on all tasks. This would include but not be limited to 541512 Computer Systems Design, 541330 Engineering, 541513 Computer Facilities Management Services, 541519 Other Computer Related Service.

**Answer:** Please see Amendment No. 2.

20. **Question:** Is the successful contractor to RFP-18-33811 SaaS Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS) conflicted out from participating in this solicitation as a subconsultant?

**Answer:** No.

21. **Question:** Can you identify all firms that were part of the Facility Condition Assessment (FCA) pilot program at DCA Terminal A, and are they precluded from participation in this procurement?

**Answer:** Firms that were part of the FCA pilot program are not precluded from participation.
22. Question: Can there be additional labor categories added to reflect the diversity of services that are going to be executed under this contract (e.g., Consultant, Cost Estimator, Quality Mgr., CMMS/Systems/BUILDER SMEs.)?
Answer: There may be additional labor categories, but unknown at this time.

23. Question: With reference to Section 3.4 (13.) what specific components will need to be collected for Airfield Pavement (G20 Site improvements) (e.g., pavement condition index, NAVAIDS, markings, etc.) and for Site Systems (G30 storm, sewer, piping, septic systems)?
Answer: The condition of existing pavement will need to be determined by visual inspection, non-destructive and destructive tests.

24. Question: Will MWAA provide recommended “sectioning” for the airfield pavements to be included in the BUILDER database? Will the existing pavement evaluation report / PCIs be provided to the successful contractor for input into BUILDER?
Answer: Yes, existing PCI condition assessments will be provided to the successful contractor.

25. Question: Would you please provide the total square footage of the terminal and other facilities that will be included in the FCA work, as well as pavement areas to be included in the assessment?
Answer: 10 million+ square feet.

26. Question: Will MWAA provide equipment specifics and cost data for the baggage handling equipment to add to the BUILDER E10 component catalog?
Answer: Yes, MWAA provided info should be used in conjunction with BUILDER databases.

27. Question: In section B of the RFQI (Key Personnel), can additional resumes be included for staff that are not identified as the 7 key personnel, but will be working on the project as long as we stay within the 14-page limit?
Answer: No.

28. Question: Section D of the RFQI “Past Performance” has 12 pages allotted. Please confirm these 12 pages are intended to be part of Section H of the SF330 form and will include a minimum of three references and other associated requirements, with the remainder of pages applied to SF330 Section H “Additional Information.”
Answer: Yes.

29. Question: What proofs of Past Performance are acceptable to MWAA (e.g. CPARS for Federal, PPQs or Client Satisfaction Surveys for non-Federal projects)?
Answer: Past Performance information shall be submitted in compliance with the RFQI. The venue is not specified.

30. Question: The existing BUILDER catalog has some areas of inaccurate costs and design life. Does MWAA have a desire to update to a RS Means catalog of sections and subscribe to annual updates?
Answer: The cost database should be as accurate as possible.
31. **Question:** A new CMMS is planned for all of MWAA. Will that be included in the list of systems to integrate with?
   **Answer:** Yes.

32. **Question:** Can MWAA please confirm that the contract does not need to provide any code changes to FM1j and TMS Onsite.
   **Answer:** Unknown at this time.

33. **Question:** Does MWAA expect any data exchange with Fleet Management?
   **Answer:** It is not anticipated at present.

34. **Question:** Does MWAA want to modify and continue to use the custom report feature in BUILDER for the “Final Report” deliverable?
   **Answer:** Yes.

35. **Question:** Confirm MWAA wants edits to be made to the existing Functionality test within BUILDER to align to the items specifically mentioned.
   **Answer:** We believe so.

36. **Question:** Will MWAA allow the field team to use tools of their choosing? If not, will it be required to use the BRED export files or must they use the BRED Windows application?
   **Answer:** If companies have new ways of extracting information, that should be presented.

37. **Question:** MWAA has a very complex GIS interior shape files. Linking each BUILDER section with a specific shape or group of shapes will be a large effort within the facility. Please confirm that the metrics integrated with ArcGIS will be associated with facility asset.
   **Answer:** Yes, the GIS database and Builder should be linked.

38. **Question:** What will be the total facility square footage?
   **Answer:** 10 Million+ square feet.

39. **Question:** The existing BUILDER catalog has some areas of inaccurate costs and design life. Does MWAA have a desire to update to a RS Means catalog of sections and subscribe to annual updates?
   **Answer:** The cost database should be as accurate as possible.

40. **Question:** A new CMMS is planned for all of MWAA. Will that be included in the list of systems to integrate with?
   **Answer:** Yes.

41. **Question:** RFQI, SOW, Paragraphs 2.4 Conceptual Cost Estimating and 3.3 Conceptual Cost Estimating – Are the conceptual level cost estimates described in paragraph 3.3 produced outside of BUILDER? If so, how do they correspond to the cost estimates described in paragraph 2.4, i.e., prepared in BUILDER and based on RS Means?
   **Answer:** Yes, in some cases they will.
42. **Question:** Can you provide any information if the legacy CMMS systems have a supported API?

**Answer:** This will be determined after contract award.

43. **Question:** Objective 8 also references integration with Workday. Can you confirm if this is a cloud hosted instance or internal to Airports Authority?

**Answer:** Cloud hosted.

44. **Question:** As each CMMS system and Workday have numerous modules. Can you identify what integration functionality is desired for each system?

**Answer:** Primarily to map to an accurate list of inventory.

45. **Question:** Page 6 of the “Statement of Work (Rev 01)” indicates that “The Consultant must utilize the BUILDER Remote Entry Database (BRED) application for field date collection and migration of field data into BUILDER”. The latest operating system that BRED is certified on is Windows 8. Is it suitable to recommend a different workflow than using BRED on an outdated operating system?

**Answer:** Yes.

46. **Question:** The install guide for Builder SMS indicates a dependence on Silverlight. In July of 2015, Microsoft announced the support end date for Silverlight 5 to be October 2021. In practice, support among browsers has already ended. Silverlight is no longer supported in Google Chrome (since September 2015), and in Firefox (since March 2017). Will the Airports Authority consider the development of a new interface to the Builder database if the US Army Corps of Engineers is not?

**Answer:** Yes.

47. **Question:** Page 6 of the “Statement of Work (Rev 01)” states “Consideration will also be given to alternatives to integrate the facility condition assessment data in the capital planning software to the Airport Authority’s ArcGIS and Total Maintenance System (TMS) CMMS.” What kind of alternatives is the Airports Authority open to?

**Answer:** We are open to suggestions.

48. **Question:** Amend #1 included a new scope. What was different versus the original scope?

**Answer:** Amendment #1 Scope included minor edits. Amendment #3 Scope includes Geographic Information System (GIS) requirements.

49. **Question:** Is Exhibit D, Contract Participation Form, the only form we need to submit currently?

**Answer:** Yes, and the SF 330 is also required to be submitted.

50. **Question:** Can you please clarify the page requirements for each section? For example, Team Past Experience has a page limit of 18 pages but some of these pages will be addressed in Section F. and others in Section H. Would the six projects count as six of the pages provided in Section F. and the rest of the 12 pages would be addressed in Section H.?

**Answer:** Yes.
51. Question: Can we add labor rates to those listed in Attachment 02? There are no GIS, developers or IT positions.
Answer: Not at this time.

52. Question: The RFP mentions Integration with existing CMMS. What integration were they envisioning? For instance, are they imagining data flowing from one system and populating tables in another system for analysis or reporting or access to records with a link that will open the record in the host system?
Answer: Yes.

53. Question: As each CMMS system and Workday have numerous modules. Can you identify what integration functionality is desired for each system?
Answer: Share required information among the systems.

54. Question: Based on the scope being more than building inspection (systems integration, cost estimating, civil inspection and analysis), and inspection of many systems requires engineering expertise, can the NAICS code "541330 Engineering Services / Engineering Consulting Services" be added to satisfy the LDBE requirement?
Answer: Please see Amendment No. 2

55. Question: Are BHS systems, runways, taxiways, ramps, air craft parking areas included in the scope of work?
Answer: Most likely for BHS, aircraft parking and ramp areas. Not likely for runways and taxiways.